

\mathcal{A}_∞ STRUCTURES

ADVIKA RAJAPAKSE

ABSTRACT. We discuss \mathcal{A}_∞ algebras and modules, tensor products of \mathcal{A}_∞ modules, and type D structures on graded \mathbf{k} -modules.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. \mathcal{A}_∞ algebras and modules	1
3. \mathcal{A}_∞ tensor products	4
4. Type D structures	4
References	6

1. INTRODUCTION

These lecture notes follow sections 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 of *Bordered Heegaard Floer homology* by Lipshitz, Ozsváth, and Thurston [1]. The first two sections of these lecture notes focus on the definitions of \mathcal{A}_∞ algebras, \mathcal{A}_∞ modules, and the \mathcal{A}_∞ tensor product. The third section of these notes defines type D structures and proves a correspondence lemma that associates D homomorphisms with differential graded (dg) homomorphisms.

2. \mathcal{A}_∞ ALGEBRAS AND MODULES

We work in the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded complexes over a fixed commutative ground ring k , where k has characteristic 2. The symbol \otimes with no subscript will be implicitly understood to be the tensor product over the ring k . We consider modules M over k , where

$$M = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} M_d.$$

Also for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and M a graded k -module, we can shift the grading of M by n to define $M[n]$, where $M[n]_d = M_{d-n}$.

Definition 2.1. An \mathcal{A}_∞ algebra A over k is a graded k -module equipped with k -linear multiplication maps $\mu_i : A^{\otimes i} \rightarrow A[2-i]$ satisfying the compatibility conditions

$$\sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-j+1} \mu_i(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{l-1} \otimes \mu_j(a_l \otimes \dots \otimes a_{l+j-1}) \otimes a_{l+j} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$$

for each $n \geq 1$.

We can visualize the compatibility conditions of \mathcal{A}_∞ modules in the following diagram:

$$0 = \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-j+1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & \Downarrow^{A^{\otimes j}} & \\ & \mu_j & \\ & \vdots & \\ & \mu_i & \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccc} \Downarrow^{A^{\otimes l-1}} & & \Downarrow^{A^{\otimes n-(l+j)+1}} \\ & \mu_i & \end{array} \end{array} \right)$$

The double arrows represent elements of $A^{\otimes i}$ coming in, where $i \geq 1$. The single dotted arrow represents an element of A coming in. We will use this diagram style throughout these lecture notes.

If we forget about μ_i for $i > 1$, we can view an \mathcal{A}_∞ algebra as a chain complex in the usual sense. If for $(A, \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)$, we have $\mu_i = 0$ for $i > 2$, then we call $(A, \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)$ a *differential graded* (dg) algebra over k . $\mu_1 : A \rightarrow A[1]$ would be the differential and $\mu_2 : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ would be the multiplication map.

We can reinterpret the compatibility conditions of an \mathcal{A}_∞ algebra if we define

$$\mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{\otimes n}[n].$$

This way, we can combine the μ_i 's into a single map $\mu : \mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) \rightarrow A[2]$ and define $\bar{D} : \mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*(A[1])$ by

$$\bar{D} := \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-j+1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \Downarrow^{A^{\otimes l-1}} & \Downarrow^{A^{\otimes j}} & \Downarrow^{A^{\otimes n-(l+j)+1}} \\ & \mu_j & \\ & \vdots & \\ & \mu_i & \end{array} \right).$$

The compatibility condition is equivalent to $\mu \circ \bar{D} = 0$, which is also equivalent to $\bar{D} \circ \bar{D} = 0$.

Definition 2.2. An \mathcal{A}_∞ algebra $(A, \{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)$ is *operationally bounded* if $\mu_i = 0$ for i sufficiently large.

Definition 2.3. A (right) \mathcal{A}_∞ module \mathcal{M} over \mathcal{A} is a graded k -module M equipped with operations

$$m_i : M \otimes A^{\otimes(i-1)} \rightarrow M[2-i]$$

satisfying the compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i+j=n+1} m_i(m_j(x \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{j-1}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i+j=n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n-j} m_i(x \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{l-1} \otimes \mu_j(a_l \otimes \dots \otimes a_{l+j-1}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which can be visualized as

$$0 = \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \Delta \\ \downarrow \\ m \\ \downarrow \\ m \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \bar{D} \\ \downarrow \\ m \\ \downarrow \end{array},$$

where $\Delta : \mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) \otimes \mathcal{T}^*(A[1])$ is the map

$$\Delta(a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{m=0}^n (a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_m) \otimes (a_{m+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n).$$

Definition 2.4. An A_∞ module \mathcal{M} over a strictly unital A_∞ algebra is *strictly unital* if $m_2(x \otimes 1) = x$, $m_i(x \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i-1}) = 0$ if $i > 2$ and some $a_j = 1$. \mathcal{M} is *bounded* if $m_i = 0$ for sufficiently large i .

We can combine the μ_i and m_i into a degree 1 map

$$\bar{m} : M \otimes \mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{T}^*(A[1])$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{m}(x_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n m_l(x \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_l) \otimes a_{l+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^{n-j+1} x \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{l-1} \otimes \mu_j(a_l \otimes \dots \otimes a_{l+j-1}) \otimes a_{l+j} \otimes \dots \otimes a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.5. A *strictly unital homomorphism* $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ of A_∞ modules is a collection of maps

$$f_i : M \otimes A^{\otimes(i-1)} \rightarrow M'[1-i]$$

satisfying

$$0 = \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \Delta \\ \downarrow \\ m \\ \downarrow \\ f \\ \downarrow \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \Delta \\ \downarrow \\ f \\ \downarrow \\ m' \\ \downarrow \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \bar{D} \\ \downarrow \\ f \\ \downarrow \end{array}$$

One example of a strictly unital homomorphism is the *identity homomorphism*, defined by $\mathbb{1} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\mathbb{1}_1(x) = x$, $\mathbb{1}_i(x \otimes A^{\otimes(i-1)}) = 0$ for $i > 1$. In the Bordered Heegaard Floer homology paper, composition of homomorphisms of A_∞ modules is defined, and in this sense, $\mathbb{1}$ acts as the identity homomorphism.

3. \mathcal{A}_∞ TENSOR PRODUCTS

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a right \mathcal{A}_∞ module and let \mathcal{N} be a left \mathcal{A}_∞ module over an \mathcal{A}_∞ algebra \mathcal{A} . Their \mathcal{A}_∞ tensor product is the chain complex

$$\mathcal{M} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} := M \otimes \mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) \otimes N$$

equipped with the boundary operator

An important case is when $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{A}$, in which we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{M} \widetilde{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N} := M \otimes \mathcal{T}^*(A[1]) \otimes \mathcal{A},$$

which has the structure of an \mathcal{A}_∞ module over \mathcal{A} .

In the lecture notes on Bordered Heegaard Floer homology, homotopies between homomorphisms of \mathcal{A}_∞ modules is defined. Using these definitions, the authors prove the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2. *If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{M} are strictly unital, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ is homotopy equivalent to \mathcal{M} .*

4. TYPE D STRUCTURES

Definition 4.1. Fix a dg algebra \mathcal{A} . Let N be a graded k -module, equipped with a map

$$\delta_N^1 : N \rightarrow (A \otimes N)[1]$$

such that

$$(\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_N) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta^1) \circ \delta^1 + (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_N) \circ \delta^1 : N \rightarrow A \otimes N$$

vanishes. We call (N, δ_N^1) a *type D structure over A with base ring k* . A k -module map $\psi : N_1 \rightarrow A \otimes N_2$ is a *D-structure homomorphism* if

$$(\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ \delta_{N_1}^1 + (\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta_{N_2}^1) \circ \psi^1 + (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ \psi^1 = 0.$$

Lemma 4.2. *If (N, δ^1) is a type D structure, then $A \otimes N$ can be given the structure of a left A module, with*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(a \otimes y) &= [(\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_N) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta^1) + \mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_N] \\ m_2(a_1 \otimes (a \otimes y)) &= \mu_2(a_1 \otimes a) \otimes y. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\psi^1 : N_1 \rightarrow A \otimes N_2$ is a D -structure homomorphism, then there is an induced map of dg modules from $A \otimes N_1$ to $A \otimes N_2$ defined by $(m_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)$.

So by the lemma, we can associate a dg module \mathcal{N} to a type D structure (N, δ^1) .

Lemma 4.3. *Let N_1, N_2 be two type D structures over a dg algebra A , and $\mathcal{N}_1 := A \otimes N_1, \mathcal{N}_2 := A \otimes N_2$ be their associated dg modules. The correspondence from the previous lemma gives an isomorphism between the space of type D homomorphisms $N_1 \rightarrow A \otimes N_2$ with the space of dg homomorphisms from \mathcal{N}_1 to \mathcal{N}_2 . Two type D homomorphisms are homotopic iff the corresponding dg homomorphisms are homotopic by an A -equivariant homotopy.*

Proof. We only prove the correspondence between dg homomorphisms and type D homomorphisms. Given $\psi : \mathcal{N}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2$ a dg homomorphism, we prove $\psi^1 : N_1 \rightarrow A \otimes N_2$, $\psi^1(x) = \psi(1 \otimes x)$ is a D structure homomorphism. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ \delta_{N_1}^1 + (\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta_{N_2}^1) \circ \psi^1 + (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ \psi^1 \\ &= m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \circ \psi^1) \circ \delta_{N_1}^1 + m'_1 \circ \psi^1 \\ &= \psi \circ \delta_{N_1}^1 + \psi \circ \delta_{N_1}^1 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

because we have

$$\begin{aligned} m'_2 \circ (a \otimes \psi^1(x)) &= m'_2(a \otimes \psi(1 \otimes x)) \\ &= \psi(m_2(a \otimes (1 \otimes x))) \\ &= \psi(\mu_2(a \otimes 1) \otimes x) \\ &= \psi(a \otimes x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m'_1 \circ \psi^1(x) &= m'_1 \circ \psi(1 \otimes x) \\ &= \psi(m_1(1 \otimes x)) \\ &= \psi([(\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_1}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta_{N_1}^1) + \mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_N](1 \otimes x)) \\ &= \psi((\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_1}) \circ (1 \otimes \delta_{N_1}^1(x)) + \mu_1(1) \otimes x) \\ &= \psi(\delta_{N_1}^1(x) + 0 \otimes x) \\ &= \psi(\delta_{N_1}^1(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Now given $\psi^1 : N_1 \rightarrow A \otimes N_2$ a D -structure homomorphism, we see that $m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)$ is a dg homomorphism from $\mathcal{N}_1 = A \otimes N_1$ to $\mathcal{N}_2 = A \otimes N_2$. Indeed, we see

that $m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)$ commutes with the algebra action:

$$\begin{aligned} m'_2(a_1 \otimes (m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(a_2 \otimes x))) &= m'_2(a_1 \otimes (m'_2 \circ (a_2 \otimes \psi^1(x)))) \\ &= m'_2(\mu_2(a_1 \otimes a_2) \otimes \psi^1(x)) \\ &= m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(\mu_2(a_1 \otimes a_2) \otimes x) \\ &= m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(m_2(a_1 \otimes (a_2 \otimes x))), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from the definition of m'_2 and the compatibility conditions for A_∞ algebras. Second, we have that $m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)$ commutes with the chain differential. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & m'_1(m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(a \otimes x)) \\ &= [m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes m'_1) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(a \otimes x)] \\ &\quad + [m'_2 \circ (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(a \otimes x)] \\ &= [m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes (m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ \delta^1))(a \otimes x)] \\ &\quad + [m'_2 \circ (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(a \otimes x)] \\ &= [m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_2}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta^1)(a \otimes x)] \\ &\quad + [m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_1})(a \otimes x)] \\ &= [m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ (\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_1}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta^1)(a \otimes x)] \\ &\quad + [m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_1})(a \otimes x)] \\ &= m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ [(\mu_2 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_1}) \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \delta^1) + (\mu_1 \otimes \mathbb{1}_{N_1})](a \otimes x) \\ &= m'_2(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(m_1(a \otimes x)). \end{aligned}$$

The first equality is by the compatibility condition for \mathcal{N}_2 applied to

$$(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)(a \otimes x).$$

The second equality is the observation that because ψ^1 is a D -structure homomorphism, we have

$$m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1) \circ \delta_{N_1}^1 + m'_1 \circ \psi^1 = 0.$$

It is straightforward to see that the association of homomorphisms

$$\psi^1 \mapsto m'_2 \circ (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \psi^1)$$

is inverse to the association

$$\psi \mapsto \psi(1 \otimes \cdot).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Robert Lipshitz, Peter S. Ozsvath, and Dylan P. Thurston. Bordered Heegaard Floer homology. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 254(1216):viii+279, 2018.